

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,723	03/24/2000	Mi Sook Nam	8733.20101 2128		
30827	7590 08/01/20	)2			
MCKENN.	A LONG & ALDRI	EXAMINER			
1900 K STR WASHING	EET, NW FON, DC 20006		HON, SOW FUN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	$\alpha$	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mx-C			
	Applicatio	n No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/534,72	3	NAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Sow-Fun I		1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no ever y within the statu will apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time fory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co o (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	April 2002 .						
<u> </u>	is action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application	١.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-42</u> is/are withdrawr		deration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	•		_			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	ər.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			, (-, -: (-,-				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have beer	received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document			on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del>, 4</del> ,.]	·	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/534,723

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-6 in Paper No. 8 (filed 04/22/02) is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by the term "photosensitive constituent". Does it mean that the constituent is chemically changed by the absorption of a photon, or does it mean that the constituent is physically changed by the absorption of a photon? If the constituent is physically changed by the absorption of a photon, then is the change transitional or permanent?
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by the term "cinnamoyl derivative".

4 Art Ünit: 1772

## **Double Patenting**

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-34 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,399,165. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

07/20/02

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1112

1/2/02